WEST VIRGINIA LEGISLATURE

2019 REGULAR SESSION

Introduced

House Bill 2774

BY DELEGATES CADLE, COOPER, WESTFALL, J. JEFFRIES,
HARSHBARGER, PAYNTER, WORRELL, BIBBY, HARDY,
WILSON AND ATKINSON

[Introduced January 30, 2019; Referred to the Committee on Agriculture and Natural Resources then then Judiciary.]

A BILL to amend and reenact §19-19-2 of the Code of West Virginia, 1931, as amended; and to amend the code by adding thereto two new sections, designated §19-19-7 and §19-19-8, all relating to the right to farm; providing for an amended definition of agriculture; providing for protections to agriculture operations from nuisance litigation if the facility has been in operation for more than one year; and providing a severability clause.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of West Virginia:

ARTICLE 19. PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION.

§19-19-2. Definitions.

For the purposes of this article:

- (a) "Agriculture" or "agricultural operation" shall mean the production of food, fiber and woodland products, by means of cultivation, tillage of the soil and by the conduct of animal, livestock, dairy, apiary, equine or poultry husbandry, and the practice of forestry, sylviculture, horticulture, or any other <u>legal</u> plant or animal production and all farm practices. <u>Appurtenant activities include the storing</u>, packing, shipping and marketing, <u>but not including as well as any manufacturing</u>, milling or processing of such products by other than the producer thereof.
- (b) "Agricultural land" shall mean not less than five acres of land and the improvements thereupon, used or usable in the production of food, fiber or woodland products of an annual value of \$1,000 or more, by the conduct of the business of agriculture, as defined in subsection (a) of this section.

§19-19-7. Additional limitations on nuisance actions.

- 4 (b) A person may not file a nuisance action to recover damages in which an agricultural
 5 operation is alleged to be a public or private nuisance unless:
 - (1) He or she is the majority legal land owner;

| 7 | (2) He or she owns property adversely affected by agricultural operations within one-half |
|----|---|
| 8 | mile of the agricultural operation; |
| 9 | (3) He or she limits the claim for damages to the actual amount his or her property is |
| 10 | devalued; and |
| 11 | (4) The agricultural operation has committed a criminal act, and found guilty. |
| 12 | (c) No agricultural operation shall be considered to be a nuisance, private or public, by |
| 13 | any changed conditions in the locality thereof after the facility has been in operation for more than |
| 14 | one year, when the facility was not a nuisance at the time the operation began. |
| 15 | (d) No agriculture or forestry operation or any of its appurtenances shall be or become a |
| 16 | private or public nuisance if the operators are applying reasonably acceptable management |
| 17 | practices to comply with all applicable laws and regulations. |
| 18 | (e) No agriculture operation shall be considered a nuisance, private or public, if an |
| 19 | agricultural operation expands, in terms of acres or animal units, so long as all state and federal |
| 20 | environmental codes, laws, and regulations are met by the agricultural operation: Provided, That |
| 21 | the expansion does not: |
| 22 | (1) Create a substantially adverse effect upon the environment; |
| 23 | (2) Create a hazard to public health and safety; |
| 24 | (3) Create a measurably significant difference in environmental conditions that exceed |
| 25 | minimum recommendations of reasonably acceptable management practices for storing, |
| 26 | processing, removing or applying animal waste; or |
| 27 | (4) Fundamentally change operations, including, but not limited to, complete relocation of |
| 28 | an agricultural operation by the owner within or without the present boundaries of the farming |
| 29 | operation. |
| 30 | (f) For the purpose of this section, a reasonable expansion may include, but is not limited |
| 31 | <u>to:</u> |
| 32 | (1) Sale of the agricultural operation; |

33 (2) Introducing technology to an existing agricultural operation; 34 (3) Applying a natural resources conservation practice or other United States Department 35 of Agriculture program to an existing agricultural operation; or 36 (4) Any other change that is related and applied to an existing agricultural operation. 37 (g) A requirement of a municipality does not apply to an agricultural operation situated 38 outside of the municipality's corporate boundaries on the effective date of this chapter. If an 39 agricultural operation is subsequently annexed or otherwise brought within the corporate 40 boundaries of a municipality, the requirements of the municipality do not apply to the agricultural 41 operation. 42 (h) No nuisance action may be brought against an agricultural operation that has lawfully 43 been in operation for one year or more prior to the date on which the action is brought, if the 44 conditions or circumstances complained of as constituting the basis for the nuisance action have 45 existed substantially unchanged since the established date of operation. 46 (i) The provisions of this section shall not apply in any of the following circumstances: 47 (1) Whenever a nuisance results from the negligent operation of any such agricultural 48 operation; or 49 (2) To affect or defeat the right of any person to recover for injuries or damages sustained 50 because of an agricultural operation or portion of an agricultural operation that is conducted in 51 violation of a federal, state, or local statute or governmental requirement that applies to the 52 agricultural operation or portion of agricultural operation. 53 (j) The protected status of an agricultural operation shall be assignable, alienable, and 54 inheritable. The protected status of an agricultural operation may not be waived by the temporary 55 cessation of operations or by diminishing the size of the operation. 56 (k) In any action brought in which an agricultural operation is alleged to be a public or 57 private nuisance, and that the court finds to be meritless, the agricultural operation may recover 58 the aggregate amount of costs and expenses determined by the court to have been reasonably

incurred in connection with the defense of such action, together with a reasonable amount for
 attorney's fees.

61 (I) In no event shall the total amount of damages in any successful nuisance action exceed 62 the diminished value of the subject property.

§19-19-8. Severability.

- 1 The provisions of this article are severable and accordingly, if any part of this article is
- 2 <u>adjudged to be unconstitutional or invalid, that determination does not affect the continuing validity</u>
- 3 of the remaining provisions of this article.

NOTE: The purpose of this bill is to protect the right to farm and protect agricultural operations from nuisance litigation if the facility has been in operation for more than one year.

Strike-throughs indicate language that would be stricken from a heading or the present law and underscoring indicates new language that would be added.